

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/945,393 -	08/30/2001	Eugene P. Marsh	MI22-1728	3193	
21567	7590 12/23/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WELLS ST. JOHN P.S.			FOURSON III, GEORGE R		
601 W. FIRST	FAVENUE, SUITE 1300 WA 99201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2823		

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Application No.	Applicant(s)	···			
Office Action Summary		09/945,393	MARSH, EUGENE P.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	ر .			
		George Fourson	2823	Pr.			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	-			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S	eptember 2004.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-7,20-27,35-38 and 45-54 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-7,20-27,35-38 and 45-54</u> is/are rejected.						
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r clastian requirement					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		* -			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Burea						
, ° S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	eu.				
			•				
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/945,393

Art Unit: 2823

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/29/04 has been entered.

Applicant requests that the Gau et al reference be considered. However, the reference was not attached to the paper filed 12/3/03 as alleged and is not present in the file.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Newly submitted claims 55 and 56 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the device as claimed can be produced by another materially different process such as CVD using mixed source gases.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits.

Accordingly, claims 55 and 56 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claims 1-7,20-27,35-38 and 42-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raaijmakers et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/945,393

Art Unit: 2823

The rejection is maintained as stated in the paper mailed 6/29/04 and as follows.

See [0057] where formation of a thinner layer 115 followed by further dielectric layers deposited by a similar ALD process is disclosed. See [0069] where different ratios of the different metals of a ternary dielectric is disclosed. See [0117] where it is disclosed that the process can be used to produce a slight doping effect as desired. See [0127] where it is disclosed that the process can be used to for dielectric stacks with enhanced dielectric properties and more stable structure.

In view of the disclosure that the amount of metals in the mixed metal oxide formed can be varied and that one of the aims of the variation is to produce dielectric stacks with enhanced dielectric properties, the same goal as that of applicant, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been led to the recited amounts of metal oxides in the dielectric stack produced.

Applicant appears to allege that unexpected results are obtained by the instant process because the range of dielectric constant of Zr oxide is lower than the range of dielectric constant for Ta oxide.

However, applicant has merely recognized a property that flows from the suggestions of the prior art as stated above. Further, the ranges of dielectric constant for the two materials overlaps indicating that in some cases one has a higher dielectric constant than the other and in others vice versa.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Fourson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2823

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George Fourson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2823

GFourson December 21, 2004